

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/781,554	02/13/2001	Aditya N. Chatterjee	05193.00003	2288
22907	7590 08/06/2004		EXAM	INER
BANNER & WITCOFF 1001 G STREET N W			MARCELO, MELVIN C	
SUITE 1100			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20001			2663	10
			DATE MAILED: 08/06/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	09/781,554	CHATTERJEE ET AL.				
omee Action Cummary	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	Melvin Marcelo	the correspondence address				
Period for Reply	opears on the cover sheet war	the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		y be timely filed 30) days will be considered timely. S from the mailing date of this communication. IDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13	February 2001.					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ Th	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
Claim(s) 1-96 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) 1-17,23-38,40-47,53-67,71 and 87-96 is/are allowed. Claim(s) 18-22,39,48-52,68-70 and 72-86 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers		,				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir 10) The drawing(s) filed on 14 October 2003 is/ar Applicant may not request that any objection to the	re: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objo e drawing(s) be held in abeyance	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		·				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bure. * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in App ority documents have been re au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	lication No ceived in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4-6.9.	Paper No(s)/N	nmary (PTO-413) Mail Date rmal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/781,554

Art Unit: 2663

٤

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 18-22, 39, 48-52, 68-70 and 72-86 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 18, line 4, it is not clear whether --in-- should be inserted after "contained."

Claim 22, lines 1-2, "the at least one identified object" lacks a proper antecedent basis to claim 17. It is not clear whether the claim should depend on claim 18 instead of 17.

Method claim 39 lacks a proper antecedent basis to system claim 21. It is not clear whether it should depend on claim 31 instead of 21.

Claim 48, line 6, it is not clear whether --in-- should be inserted after "contained."

Claim 52, lines 1-2, "the at least one identified object" lacks a proper antecedent basis to claim 47. See claim 48.

Claim 68, line 2, it is not clear whether "client multicast cache" should be --client content cache--.

Claim 72, line 2, it is not clear whether "client multicast cache" should be --client content cache--.

Claim 77, line 10, "the received selected page" lacks a proper antecedent basis since there is no recited step of receiving the selected page.

Art Unit: 2663

Claim 77, line 15, "the client application" is ambiguous since it is not clear whether it is referring to "a client application" in line 2 or one of the client applications in "a plurality of client applications" in line 11.

Claim 78, line 2, "the client application" is ambiguous for the above reasons.

Claim 79, line 2, "the client application" is ambiguous for the above reasons.

Claim 83, line 2, "the client application" is ambiguous for the above reasons.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 3. Claims 1-17, 23-38, 40-47, 53-67, 71, and 87-96 are allowed.
- 4. Claims 18-22, 39, 48-52, 68-70 and 72-86 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action.
- 5. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: the prior art of record fails to anticipate or make obvious the additional feature of when the cache forms at least a portion of the data page, the cache sending a request to the host station through a satellite system for information forming the selected data page that is not stored in the cache; and also, the features associated with multicasting selected pages to a plurality of client applications.

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Chatterjee et al. (US 2003/0112772 A1) is a cip of the current application. Border et al. (US 2002/0055966 A1), Marks et al. (US 2002/0007374 A1),

Art Unit: 2663

and Thacker et al. (US 6427172 B1) teach caching systems for retrieving data through satellites or a multicasting system.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Melvin Marcelo whose telephone number is 703-305-4373. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chau Nguyen can be reached on 703-308-5340. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Melvin Marcelo Primary Examiner Art Unit 2663

July 30, 2004